

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/629,589 07/30/2003		07/30/2003	Guoyan Zheng	8932-734-999	. 6209	
20582	7590	03/11/2005		EXAMINER		
JONES DAY				ROY, BAISAKHI		
51 Louisiana Aveue, N.W				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, D	C 20001-2113			TAI ER NOMBER	
·				3737	3737 DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(r	
`	フ	1.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/629,589	ZHENG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Baisakhi Roy	3737					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/22/03</u> . 6)							

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it begins with the implied phrase "The present invention". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Objections

3. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: "ands" should be replaced with "and". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,589

Art Unit: 3737

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12-20, and 23-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Charles, Jr. et al. (6816564).

Regarding claims 1 and 27, 31, Charles, Jr. et al. disclose a method and apparatus to prepare a virtual three-dimensional representation of a first portion of a bone with said system comprising a processor in communication with a display device to obtain a first image data of the first portion of the bone from a first orientation, obtaining second image data of the first portion of the bone from a second orientation, generating a three dimensional virtual representation of the first portion of the bone, and displaying the 3D representation of the bone with an orientation determined from the difference between the first and second image data (col. 2 lines 64-67, col. 3 lines 17-32, col. 10 lines 17-23 lines 35-48, col. 17 lines 10-26, col. 20 lines 24-67, col. 21 lines 1-19).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Charles, Jr. et al. teach said first and second images to be a two-dimensional image (col. 17 lines 10-26).

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the reference teaches displaying an image of the first portion of the bone which comprises data from at least one of the first and second

image data and overlaying the displayed 3D representation of the first portion of the bone and the image of the first portion of the bone (col. 3 lines 32-46, col. 10 lines 17-27 lines 35-48).

Regarding claims 7-9 and 18-20, the reference teaches method of adjusting a dimension of the first and second portion of the bone such as the cross-sectional area/diameter and length or long axis of the bone (col.17 lines 20-26).

Regarding claims 12-14 and 23-25, the reference teaches determining an intraoperative orientation of the bone or the orientation of the displayed 3D virtual representation by securing a set of energy detectors and detecting energy emitted by the energy emitters, and updating changes in the 3D representation in response of changes in the intraoperative changes in the first portion of the bone (col. 8 lines 28-67, col. 12 lines 12-25).

Regarding claims 15-17 and 28-29, the reference teaches obtaining third image data of the second portion of the bone, fourth image data of the first portion of the bone, generating a 3D virtual representation of the second portion of the bone, and displaying the virtual representation of the second portion of the bone with an orientation determined from the difference between the third and fourth image data (col. 3 lines 32-46 lines 56-65, col. 4 lines 1-11, col. 10 lines 17-27 lines 35-48).

Regarding claim 26, the reference teaches the method where the first and second portions of the separated and analysis of the respective virtual representation of the first and second bones (col. 12 lines 1-8).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,589

Art Unit: 3737

Regarding claim 30, the reference further teaches identifying a longitudinal axis of the displayed image where the first portion of the bone extends along said axis (col. 10 lines 64-67, col. 11 lines 1-11).

3. Claims 1-4, 12, 23, 27, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krause et al. (6711432).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 27, and 31, Krause et al. disclose a method and apparatus to prepare a virtual three-dimensional representation of a first portion of a bone with said system comprising a processor in communication with a display device to obtain a first image data of the first portion of the bone from a first orientation, obtaining second image data of the first portion of the bone from a second orientation, generating a three dimensional virtual representation of the first portion of the bone, and displaying the 3D representation of the bone with an orientation determined from the difference between the first and second image data (col. 2 lines 12-45, col. 5 lines 5-15 lines 58-67, col. 6 lines 40-51, col. 7 lines 21-44).

Regarding claims 2 and 3, Krause et al. teach said first and second images to be two-dimensional images (col. 6 lines 18-24).

Regarding claims 12 and 23, Krause et al. teach determining an intraoperative orientation of the bone or the orientation of the displayed 3D virtual representation by securing a set of energy detectors and detecting energy emitted by the energy emitters, and updating changes in the 3D representation in response of changes in the intraoperative changes in the first portion of the bone (col. 2 lines 32-39, col. 5 lines 5-15).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,589 Page 6

Art Unit: 3737

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Charles, Jr. et al. in view of Krause et al. (6711432). Charles, Jr, et al. do not explicitly teach said first and second images to be a fluoroscope image. Krause et al. disclose a method of generating a three-dimensional virtual representation of a portion of a bone from two-dimensional first and second images with said images being fluoroscopic images (col. 2 lines 18-39, col. 6 lines 10-51, col. 7 lines 1-8). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the fluoroscopic imaging method teaching by Krause et al. to modify the teaching by Charles, Jr. et al. for the purpose of generating two-dimensional fluoroscopic images.

Claims 10, 11, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Charles, Jr. et al. in view of Pelletier et al. (6560476). Charles, Jr. et al. do not teach said 3D virtual representation comprise a cylindrical portion with a lower symmetry than the bone portion. Pelletier et al. disclose a method of preparing a virtual three-dimensional representation of a bone with said 3D virtual representation to comprise a cylindrical portion (col. 6 lines 8-30). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the 3D representation teaching by Pelletier et al. to modify the teaching by Charles, Jr. et al. for the purpose of creating a virtual

Application/Control Number: 10/629,589 Page 7

Art Unit: 3737

representation with a cylindrical portion which may preferably be rotated about the central axis without changing the apparent orientation of the virtual representation.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for relevant references of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B·R.

BR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

-: 00V CENTER 3700